



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/893,021	06/26/2001	Douglas P. Bogia	42390P10211	3409	
7590 01/31/2006			EXAMINER		
BLAKELY, S	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR	REFAI, RAMSEY			
Seventh Floor					
12400 Wilshire	Boulevard	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			2152		
				_	

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/89	3,021	BOGIA, DOUGLA	BOGIA, DOUGLAS P.			
		Exam	ner	Art Unit				
		Rams	ey Refai	2152				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on	the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANISTRICK IN THE MANISTRICK	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. tutory period will apply awill, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNIC o event, however, may a re nd will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become AB/	CATION.  Apply be timely filed  FHS from the mailing date of this of the capacity of the capac				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>11/15/05</i> .						
		b) This action	is non-final.					
3) 🗌								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-7,11,12,17-20,24,29 and 30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	D⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-7,11,12,17-20,29 and 30</u> is/are rejected.							
7)								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election	on requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted o	r b) objected to t	by the Examiner.				
-	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner	. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim t ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		-	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority			•				
	3. Copies of the certified copies			received in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the Internation	•	, ,,					
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the c	certified copies not i	received.				
<b>.</b>	w.,							
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		A) [] Interview o	ummoni (BTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (P10-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of In	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/893,021

Art Unit: 2152

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

# Response to Amendment

- 1. Responsive to Amendment received November 11, 2005. Claims 1, 4-7, 11, 12, 17-20, 24, 29 and 30 were pending examination. Claims 1, 11, 18, 20 and 29 have been amended.
- 2. As initial matter, it appears that claim 24 was inadvertently grouped in Group I during the Restriction/Election Requirement mailed September 14, 2004. Since claim 24 depends on claim 22, it should have been appropriately grouped in Group III. Therefore, claim 24 is withdrawn from prosecution.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-7, 11, 12, 17-20, 29, and 30 are now pending examination.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- Claims 1, 4-7, 11, 12, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims have been amended to now recite that the appliance already has "instructions stored on" to "reconfigure the appliance based on the received electronic data file". However, this new limitation is not described in the specification and there is no indication that applicant had possession of the claimed invention at the time of filing.

- 🔻

Art Unit: 2152

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "substantially the same time" in claim 18 is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "substantially the same time" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Since claims 19-20 depend on claim 18, they are rejected under the same rationale.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 1, 4-7, 11, 12, 17-20, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smith et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,785,015).
- 10. As per claim 1, Smith et al teach a method comprising:

Art Unit: 2152

generating an electronic data file including configuration information (abstract, column 2, lines 40-50); and

transmitting the electronic data file including the configuration information to an appliance (abstract, column 2, lines 40-50) wherein the appliance already has instructions stored on a storage medium thereof to configure the appliance based on the received electronic data file (column 6, lines 52-63, and column 8, lines 12-42; peripheral contains instructions to process received configuration data), the electronic data file to cause the appliance to be automatically reconfigured based on the configuration information (abstract, column 2, lines 40-50).

- 11. As per claim 4, Smith et al teach wherein the electronic data file is embedded in an email (abstract), and further comprising: encrypting the electronic data file prior to sending it to the appliance (column 4, 37-65, column 2, lines 40-49).
- 12. As per claim 5, Smith et al teach decrypting the email after being received by the appliance (column 4, 37-65, column 2, lines 40-49).
- 13. As per claim 6, Smith et al teach authenticating the email after being received by the appliance (column 4, 37-65, column 2, lines 40-49).
- 14. As per claim 7, Smith et al teach checking that the email has a proper authorization before configuring the appliance (column 13, lines 14-25, column 2, lines 9-67).

Art Unit: 2152

18.

15. As per claim 11, Smith et al teach a method comprising:

receiving an email including configuration information at an appliance that already has instructions stored on a storage medium thereof to reconfigure the appliance based on the configuration information; and reconfiguring the appliance based on the configuration information (abstract, column 2, lines 9-67).

- 16. As per claim 12, Smith et al teach decrypting the email (column 4, 37-65, column 2, lines 40-49).
- 17. As per claim 17, Smith et al teach sending a confirmation email indicating that the appliance was reconfigured successfully (column 13, lines 14-25).

As per claim 18, Smith et al teach a method comprising:

- entering configuration information using an application running on a machine; sending an email including configuration information for to each of a group of appliances at substantially the same time (abstract, column 2, lines 9-67).
- 19. As per claim 19, Smith et al teach encrypting the configuration information in the email (column 4, 37-65, column 2, lines 40-49).

Art Unit: 2152

20. As per claim 20, Smith et al teach the multiple appliances authenticating the email before configuring themselves based on the configuration information (Figure 1, column 4, 37-65, column 2, lines 40-49).

21. As per claim 29, Smith et al teach an article comprising:

a storage medium, which stores instructions, the instructions, when executed, causing systems to: receive an electronic data file including configuration information; and reconfiguring an appliance based on the configuration information included in the electronic data file (abstract, column 2, lines 9-67).

22. As per claim 30, Smith et al teach wherein the storage medium further comprises instructions, the instructions, when executed, cause systems to: decrypt the electronic data file (column 4, 37-65, column 2, lines 40-49).

# Response to Arguments

23. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is cited in the Notice of Reference Cited form (PTO-892).

Art Unit: 2152

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramsey Refai whose telephone number is (571) 272-3975. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on (571) 272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,021

Art Unit: 2152

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramsey Refai Examiner Art Unit 2152

January 18, 2006

BUNJOR JÁROENCHONWANIT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8